# **Complete Summary**

#### **GUIDELINE TITLE**

Duration and frequency of haemodialysis therapy.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

Duration and frequency of haemodialysis therapy. Nephrology 2005 Oct;10(S4):S71-4.

Duration and frequency of haemodialysis therapy. Westmead NSW (Australia): CARI - Caring for Australians with Renal Impairment; 2004 Dec. 9 p. [19 references]

## **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

## **COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT**

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis RECOMMENDATIONS
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS
BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES
IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY
DISCLAIMER

## SCOPE

## **DISEASE/CONDITION(S)**

End-stage kidney disease (ESKD)

## **GUIDELINE CATEGORY**

Assessment of Therapeutic Effectiveness Management Treatment

## **CLINICAL SPECIALTY**

Family Practice Internal Medicine Nephrology Nursing

## **INTENDED USERS**

Allied Health Personnel Nurses Physicians

## **GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)**

To review the available evidence for the benefit of prolonged duration and more frequent hemodialysis

## **TARGET POPULATION**

Patients with end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) who are approaching hemodialysis

## **INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED**

#### **Evaluation**

Patient education

- Advantages of prolonged and more frequent dialysis
- Advantages of home (including nocturnal) dialysis
- Short daily dialysis

# Management/Treatment

- 1. Home hemodialysis
  - Prolonged (6-8 hours) hemodialysis
  - More frequent dialysis than thrice weekly
- 2. In-center hemodialysis

#### **MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED**

- Dialysis adequacy
  - Urea reduction ratio
- Hospitalization at 1 year
- Patient acceptance rate
- Quality of life
- Mortality

## **METHODOLOGY**

# METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

# DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

**Databases searched**: Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and text words for dialysis were combined with MeSH terms and text words for short daily, nocturnal, frequency and duration, and then combined with the Cochrane highly sensitive search strategy for randomized controlled trials. The search was carried out in Medline (1966 – February Week 1 2004). The Cochrane Renal Group Trials Register was also searched for trials not indexed in Medline.

Date of searches: 18 February 2004.

#### NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

# METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

## RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

#### Levels of Evidence

**Level I**: Evidence obtained from a systematic review of all relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs)

**Level II**: Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed RCT

**Level III**: Evidence obtained from well-designed pseudo-randomized controlled trials (alternate allocation or some other method); comparative studies with concurrent controls and allocation not randomized, cohort studies, case-control studies, interrupted time series with a control group; comparative studies with historical control, two or more single arm studies, interrupted time series without a parallel control group

**Level IV**: Evidence obtained from case series, either post-test or pretest/post-test

## METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Review of Published Meta-Analyses Systematic Review with Evidence Tables

## **DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE**

Not stated

## METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

**Expert Consensus** 

# DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not stated

## RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

## **COST ANALYSIS**

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

#### METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Comparison with Guidelines from Other Groups Peer Review

#### **DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION**

<u>Recommendations of Others</u>. Recommendations regarding duration and frequency of hemodialysis therapy from the following groups were discussed: Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative, British Renal Association, Canadian Society of Nephrology, European Best Practice Guidelines, and International Guidelines.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

## **MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

Definitions for the levels of evidence (I–IV) can be found at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

## **Guidelines**

No recommendations possible based on Level I or II evidence

## **Suggestions for Clinical Care**

(Suggestions are based on Level III and IV sources)

• The advantages of prolonged and more frequent dialysis should be discussed in depth with patients approaching dialysis, and the advantages of home haemodialysis should be presented in detail. While 6–8 hours each night, five or six nights weekly, might be optimal therapy, it may be difficult to persuade

patients to accept more than (for example) 7 hours each night on alternate nights. Even this would be an improvement on current regimens.

- Short daily dialysis is also an option.
- While extending hours and increasing dialysis frequency would be difficult in dialysis centres, it could perhaps be tried in selected patients.

#### **Definitions:**

#### **Levels of Evidence**

**Level I**: Evidence obtained from a systematic review of all relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs)

Level II: Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed RCT

**Level III**: Evidence obtained from well-designed pseudo-randomized controlled trials (alternate allocation or some other method); comparative studies with concurrent controls and allocation not randomized, cohort studies, case-control studies, interrupted time series with a control group; comparative studies with historical control, two or more single arm studies, interrupted time series without a parallel control group

**Level IV**: Evidence obtained from case series, either post-test or pretest/post-test

## **CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)**

None provided

## **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see "Major Recommendations").

## BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **POTENTIAL BENEFITS**

- Appropriate education will be given to patients with end-stage kidney disease who are approaching hemodialysis.
- Dialysis patients fare better when the duration and/or the frequency of dialysis is extended beyond the conventional regimen of around 4 hours, three times each week.
- Compared with short thrice-weekly dialysis, nocturnal dialysis is associated with improved salt and water control, increased solute removal, improved calcium and phosphate control, and a marked improvement in quality of life.

## **POTENTIAL HARMS**

## **IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE**

## **DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY**

An implementation strategy was not provided.

# INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

## **IOM CARE NEED**

Living with Illness

## **IOM DOMAIN**

Effectiveness

## **IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY**

# **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

Duration and frequency of haemodialysis therapy. Nephrology 2005 Oct;10(S4):S71-4.

Duration and frequency of haemodialysis therapy. Westmead NSW (Australia): CARI - Caring for Australians with Renal Impairment; 2004 Dec. 9 p. [19 references]

## **ADAPTATION**

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

## **DATE RELEASED**

2005 Oct

# **GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)**

Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment - Disease Specific Society

# **SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING**

Industry-sponsored funding administered through Kidney Health Australia

## **GUIDELINE COMMITTEE**

## **COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE**

David Harris, Convenor (Westmead, New South Wales); Merlin Thomas (Prahran, Victoria); David Johnson (Woolloongabba, Queensland); Kathy Nicholls (Parkville, Victoria); Adrian Gillin (Camperdown, New South Wales)

# FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All guideline writers are required to fill out a declaration of conflict of interest.

## **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

## **GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY**

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the <u>Caring</u> for Australasians with Renal Impairment (CARI) Web site.

Print copies: Available from Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment, Locked Bag 4001, Centre for Kidney Research, Westmead NSW, Australia 2145

#### **AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS**

The following is available:

• The CARI guidelines. A guide for writers. Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment. 2008 Jul. 6 p.

Electronic copies: Available from the <u>Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment (CARI) Web site</u>.

#### **PATIENT RESOURCES**

None available

#### **NGC STATUS**

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on April 22, 2008.

## **COPYRIGHT STATEMENT**

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

#### **DISCLAIMER**

## **NGC DISCLAIMER**

The National Guideline Clearinghouse<sup>™</sup> (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <a href="http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx">http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx</a>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

Copyright/Permission Requests

Date Modified: 5/25/2009

